

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP
 Four Gateway Center
 100 Mulberry Street
 Newark, New Jersey 07102
 (973) 622-4444
 Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party
 Plaintiff Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company
 DK-4593 (David R. Kott, Esq.)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

-----X		
WALSH SECURITIES, INC.,	:	
	:	
v. Plaintiff,	:	Civil Action No. 97-3496
	:	Honorable William G. Bassler
CRISTO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, LTD.,	:	
et al.,	:	
	:	CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE
Defendants.	:	
and	:	
COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE INSURANCE	:	
COMPANY,	:	
Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	
ROBERT WALSH and ELIZABETH ANN	:	
DeMOLA,	:	
Third Party Defendants.	:	
-----X		

DESIREE JACKSON, in lieu of affidavit under oath, says:

1. I am employed by the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for Defendants/Third Party Plaintiffs, Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company, in this action.
2. On June 3, 2005, I caused to be delivered a copy of Third Party Plaintiff Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company's Brief in Opposition to Third Party Defendant Weichert, Realtors Motion to Dismiss, As It Relates to Commonwealth Land Title Insurance

Company's Third Party Complaint, by certified mail and regular mail to all counsel listed on the attached as Exhibit A.

3. I hereby certify that the foregoing statements are true. If any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment by law.


DESIREE JACKSON

Dated: June 3, 2005

NWK2: 1301837.01

SERVICE LIST

WALSH SECURITIES, INC. V. CRISTO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, ET AL
CIVIL ACTION NO. 97-3496(WGB)

Robert A. Magnanini, Esq.
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
150 John F. Kennedy
Short Hills, NJ 07078
Attorney for plaintiff Walsh Securities, Inc. and for third-party defendant Robert Walsh

Ronald M. Kleinberg, Esq.
Richard A. Finkel, Esq.
Meissner, Kleinberg & Finkel, LLP
275 Madison Ave., Suite 1000
New York, New York 10016
**Attorney for defendants Cristo Property Management, LTD, DEK Homes of NJ, Inc.,
Oakwood Properties, Inc., and William J. Kane**

Joseph Sorrentino, Esq.
404 Manor Road
Staten Island, New York 10314
**Attorney for defendants Cristo Property Management, LTD, DEK Homes of NJ, Inc.,
Oakwood Properties, Inc., and William J. Kane**

Michael Schottland, Esq.
Schottland, Manning, Rosen, Callendo & Munson, PA
36 W. Main Street
Freehold, NJ 07728
Attorney for Defendants National Home Funding, Inc. and Robert Skowrenski, II

Martin R. McGowan, Jr., Esq.
Methfessel & Werbel
3 Ethel Road
Suite 300
Edison, New Jersey 08818
Attorneys for Defendant Coastal Title Agency

Edward C. Bertucio, Jr., Esq.
Hobbie, Corrigan, Bertucio & Tashjy, P.C.
125 Wyckoff Road
Eatontown, NJ 07724
Attorney for Defendant Michael Alfieri, Esq.

Robert J. Reilly, III, Esq.
Reilly, Supple & Wischusen, LLC
571 Central Avenue
New Providence, NJ 07974
Attorney for defendant Michael Alfieri, Esq. on the Sixth Count

Theodore W. Daunno, Esq.
1033 Clifton Avenue
Clifton, New Jersey 07013
Attorney for Defendant Lawrence M. Cuzzi

Mark W. Catanzaro, Esq.
Blason IV
Suite 208
513 Lenola Road
Moorestown, New Jersey 08057
Attorney for defendants Richard Pepsny and Donna Pepsny

Thomas D. Flinn, Esq.
Garrity, Graham, Favette & Flinn
1 Lackawanna Plaza, Box 4205
Montclair, NJ 07042
Attorney for Defendant Anthony M. Ciccalese

Anthony Argiropoulos, Esq.
Fox, Rothschild, O'Brien & Frankel
997 Lenox Drive, Bldg. 3
Lawrenceville, New Jersey 08648
Attorney for Defendants Fidelity National Title Insurance and Nations Title Insurance

Edward J. Hayes, Jr., Esq.
Fox, Rothschild, O'Brien & Frankel
2000 Market Street
10th Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-3291
Attorney for Defendants Fidelity National Title Insurance and Nations Title Insurance

Pasquale Menna, Esq.
Kauff and Menna
170 Broad Street
P.O. 762
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
Attorneys for defendant Roland J. Pierson

Frederick Alworth, Esq.
Gibbons Del Deo Dolan Griffinger & Vecchione, PC
1 Riverfront Plaza
Newark, NJ 07102
Attorney for defendant Stewart Title Guaranty Company

John B. McCusker, Esq.
McCusker Anselmi Rosen Carvelli & Walsh, PC
127 Main Street
Chatham, NJ 07928
Attorney for defendant Weichert Realtors

Thomas Brodo, Pro Se
139B Fort Lee Road
Teaneck, New Jersey 07666

James R. Brown, Pro Se
1089 Cedar Avenue
Union, New Jersey 07083

Richard Calanni, Pro Se
One Old Farm Road
Tinton Falls, New Jersey 07724

Anthony D'Apolito, Pro Se
909 Woodland
Wall Township, NJ 07719

Richard DiBenedetto, Pro Se
153 Stephens Lane
Mahwah, New Jersey 07430

Irene DiFeo, Pro Se
5 Leann Court
Old Bridge, NJ 08857

Stanley Yacker, Esq., Pro Se
33 Broadway, #1
Ocean Grove, NJ 07756-1397

Capital Assets Property Management, LLC
10 West Bergen Place
Red Bank, NJ 07701

Andrew I. Indeck, Esq.
Scarinci & Hollenbeck, LLC
1100 Valleybrook Avenue
P.O. Box 790
Lyndhurst, NJ 07071

**Attorney for Garden State Indemnity Co. (Legal Malpractice carrier
for defendant Michael Alfieri)**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

WALSH SECURITIES, INC.,	:	
	:	
v. Plaintiff,	:	Civil Action No. 97-3496 (WGB)
	:	Honorable William G. Bassler
CRISTO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, LTD.,	:	
et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
and	:	
COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE INSURANCE	:	
COMPANY,	:	
	:	
Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ROBERT WALSH and	:	
ELIZABETH ANN DeMOLA,	:	
	:	
Third Party Defendants.	:	

**THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE INSURANCE
COMPANY'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT WEICHERT,
REALTORS MOTION TO DISMISS, AS IT RELATES TO COMMONWEALTH LAND
TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT**

Of Counsel: David R. Kott, Esq.

On the Brief: David R. Kott, Esq.
Natalie S. Watson, Esq.

David R. Kott, Esq. (DK-4593)
McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102
(973) 622-4444
Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party
Plaintiff Commonwealth Land
and Title Company Insurance
Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	2
LEGAL ARGUMENT	2
POINT I	2
WEICHERT HAS FAILED TO ASSERT A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS DEFENSE AGAINST COMMONWEALTH; EVEN IF SHE HAD, SUCH A DEFENSE WOULD FAIL BECAUSE COMMONWEALTH'S CLAIM HAS NOT YET ACCRUED	2
POINT II	4
SHOULD THE COURT GRANT WEICHERT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS BASED ON STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS BAR, SUCH A DISMISSAL SHOULD NOT SERVE TO DISMISS CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST WEICHERT	4
CONCLUSION	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

<i>Aetna Insurance Co. v. Newton</i> , 398 F.2d 729 (3d Cir. 1968).....	3
<i>Fairview Park Excavating Co., Inc. v. Al Monzo Construction Co., Inc.</i> , 560 F.2d 1122 (3d Cir. 1977).....	3
<i>Fronmeyer v. L. & R. Construction Co., Inc.</i> , 139 F. Supp. 579 (D.N.J. 1956)	3

STATE CASES

<i>Holloway v. State</i> , 125 N.J. 386 (1991)	2
<i>Markey v. Skog</i> , 129 N.J. Super. 129 (Law Div. 1974)	2
<i>McGlone v. Corbi</i> , 59 N.J. 86 (1971)	1
<i>Mettinger v. Globe Slicing Machine Co.</i> , 153 N.J. 371 (1998)	1
<i>S.P. v. Collier high School</i> , 319 N.J. Super. 452 (App. Div. 1999).....	2

STATUTES

NJS 2A:53A-1 et seq.	3
---------------------------	---

MISCELLANEOUS

57 ADR 3d 867 at § 3(a).....	2
Mahoney, Comparative Fault and Liability Apportionment (GANN, 2005)	2

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

We represent the defendant Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company (hereinafter "Commonwealth") in the above-captioned matter. Weichert, Realtors (hereinafter "Weichert") does not contend that Commonwealth's cross-claims against it run afoul of the statute of limitations. Indeed, claims for contribution and indemnification do not accrue until the recovery of judgment against the third party plaintiff. Consequently, Commonwealth submits this brief to support the contention that any dismissal granted in favor of Weichert should not serve to dismiss the cross-claims against it.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

POINT I

WEICHERT HAS FAILED TO ASSERT A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS DEFENSE AGAINST COMMONWEALTH; EVEN IF SHE HAD, SUCH A DEFENSE WOULD FAIL BECAUSE COMMONWEALTH'S CLAIM HAS NOT YET ACCRUED

Under New Jersey law, claims for contribution and indemnification do not accrue until the recovery of judgment against the third party plaintiff. McGlone v. Corbi, 59 N.J. 86, 95 (1971); see also Mettinger v. Globe Slicing Mach Co., 153 N.J. 371, 387 (1998); Mahoney, Comparative Fault and Liability Apportionment (GANN, 2005) at 13:2-4. Said another way:

The rule generally recognized is that a claim for contribution. . . does not accrue, and the statute of limitations does not start to run thereon, at the time of the commission of the tort, or of the resulting injury or damage, but from the time of the accrual of the cause of action for contribution, which is at the time of payment of the underlying claim, payment of a judgment thereon, or payment of a settlement thereof, or at the time of other satisfaction or discharge of such claim in whole or in part, to an extent greater

than his pro rata share of the common liability, by the party seeking contribution.

57 ADR 3d 867 at § 3(a). For example, in Mettinger, a distributor sought indemnification and contribution from the successor of the manufacturer of an allegedly defective product. Id. The Mettinger Court held that the distributor's claims for indemnification and contribution against the manufacturer's successor did not accrue until the injured plaintiff obtained a judgment against the distributor. Id. Thus, the distributor's claims were preserved even though the successor did not even come into existence until after the statute of limitations on the underlying product claim had expired. Id.

In Markev v. Skog, 129 N.J. Super. 129, 200-201 (Law Div. 1974), Judge Pressler offers a succinct explanation of the interplay between a defendant's contribution right and the statute of limitations. Holding that a defendant can bring a contribution claim against an alleged joint tortfeasor even if the statute of limitations has run on the plaintiff's claim against that party, Judge Pressler stated:

It is clear that a defendant's right to contribution from a joint tortfeasor is, therefore, an inchoate right which does not ripen into a cause of action until he has paid more than his pro rata portion of the judgment obtained against him by the plaintiff. It is at that point that his cause of action for contribution accrues. . . The assertion by codefendants in a negligence action of a right of contribution inter sese and the right of a defendant to implead a joint tortfeasor by a third-party complaint before plaintiff's cause of action has been reduced to a judgment are merely devices of a procedural convenience afforded by the rules of practice. . .

Id. at 200; accord S.P. v. Collier high School, 319 N.J. Super. 452, 472 (App. Div. 1999);

Holloway v. State, 125 N.J. 386, 399 (1991).

In the case at bar, Commonwealth's claim against Weichert is for contribution under the Joint Tortfeasors Contribution Law, N.J.S. 2A:53A-1 et seq. As such, Commonwealth's claim

will not accrue until Walsh secures judgment against Commonwealth. Therefore, Commonwealth's right to contribution from Weichert may not be defeated by Walsh's statute of limitations bar, if such a bar exists.

POINT II

**SHOULD THE COURT GRANT WEICHERT'S MOTION
TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS BASED ON STATUTE
OF LIMITATIONS BAR, SUCH A DISMISSAL SHOULD
NOT SERVE TO DISMISS CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST
WEICHERT**

Under Third Circuit law, "dismissal of the original Complaint as to one of the defendants named therein does not operate as a dismissal of a cross-claim filed against such defendant by a co-defendant." Aetna Ins. Co. v. Newton, 398 F.2d 729, 734 (3d Cir. 1968) (internal citations omitted); see also Fronmeyer v. L. & R. Construction Co., Inc., 139 F.Supp. 579, 585-86 (D.N.J. 1956); Fairview Park Excavating Co., Inc. v. Al Monzo Construction Co., Inc., 560 F.2d 1122, 1125-26 (3d Cir. 1977). As discussed above (Point I, supra), Commonwealth's cross-claims for contribution have not yet accrued under New Jersey law and will not accrue until a plaintiff secures judgment against Commonwealth. Furthermore, Weichert has not asserted a statute of limitations defense against Commonwealth's cross-claims. Consequently, Commonwealth respectfully requests that regardless of this Court's decision concerning dismissal of plaintiff's claims against Weichert, any dismissal should not also serve to dismiss Commonwealth's cross-claims against it.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, defendant Commonwealth respectfully requests that regardless of whether the plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed as to any co-defendants, this Court preserve any and all cross-claims filed against the co-defendants by defendant Commonwealth.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 3, 2005

By:



David R. Kott, Esq.
McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652
(973) 622-4444
(973) 624-7070 (fax)

NWK2: 1318374.01